

Anxiety Levels among Healthcare Professionals during Covid-19 Pandemic: A Multifactorial Study

Arif Malik ^{1*}, Muhammad Mansoor Hafeez ^{1*}, Sulayman Waquar ¹, Muhammad Asim Rana ², Rabail Alam ¹

¹Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (IMBB), The University of Lahore, Lahore-Pakistan.

²Intensive Care Unit, Bahria International Hospital Lahore-Pakistan.

***Corresponding Author:** Muhammad Mansoor Hafeez and Arif Malik, Director Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (IMBB), The University of Lahore, Lahore-Pakistan.

Received Date: November 04, 2024; **Accepted Date:** November 20, 2024; **Published Date:** November 30, 2024

Citation: Arif Malik, Muhammad M. Hafeez, Sulayman Waquar, Muhammad A. Rana, Rabail Alam, (2024). Anxiety Levels among Healthcare Professionals during Covid-19 Pandemic: A Multifactorial Study, *International Journal of Clinical Nephrology*. 3(6); DOI:10.37579/2834-5142/029

Copyright: © 2024, Muhammad Mansoor Hafeez and Arif Malik. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

The current study focuses on psychological stress level among doctors, estimated by calculating anxiety score. For the assessment of anxiety levels, the GAD-7 scale was used. Chi-Square test and odd ratios were calculated among the exposed and not exposed groups involved in the management of COVID-19 patients. Results revealed increased anxiety levels in the exposed group. Besides, the availability of personal protective equipment's and stress from the family to quit the job were the substantial contributing factors that increased anxiety. Based on the results, it is proposed that the concern administrative authorities should consider these findings to facilitate medical healthcare professionals.

Key words: anxiety; COVID-19; GAD-7 scoring system; healthcare professionals; psychological stress

Introduction

Anxiety has been identified as one of the top-ranked mental issues among health care professionals that can dynamically influence individual performances. Different external factors like working hours at the hospital, personal relationships, financial problems and certain other extraneous factors including medical illness of family members can contribute to elevated stress levels (Koay et al., 2020). It is pertinent to mention here that anxiety and depression levels generally prevailing among the population worldwide (Fonagy et al., 2016). Such non-communicable disorders could potentially lead to disability (Murray et al., 1996). More specifically, in developing countries like Pakistan, psychiatric disorders in combination with numerous infectious diseases and malnutrition can further aggravate the problem. On a gender basis, women are more prone to this disorder compared with male counterparts (Mirza et al., 2004). Keeping in view the available literature, the present study investigated anxiety levels among healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic that added extra stress among the medical community, especially frontline healthcare professionals. So far, the COVID-19 infection has caused casualties including 278 doctors that were confirmed but more than reported deaths of doctors are expected (Ing et al., 2020). The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19 or 2019-nCoV) was first identified in December 2019 in China and the infection spread to 167 countries within a few months. Apart from the rapid rise in death tolls, COVID-19 infection rates caused added stress among the general population that ultimately affected front line doctors working in hospitals (Montemurro et al., 2020). World Health Organization (WHO) declared it as a pandemic/global emergency in March 2020 due to an increasing number of cases and deaths around the globe. According to WHO, there were 3.7

million confirmed cases with a death toll of 1 million (WHO COVID-19 Dashboard., 2020). Outbreaks of COVID-19 have imposed critical impacts on the mental health and anxiety-related concerns among healthcare professionals (Ahmed et al., 2020).

It should be noted that a large number of doctors and nurses have got infected and even lost their lives in this pandemic. Major existing issues were due to increased workload and intermittent lack of protective equipment (Magnani et al., 2020). Researchers integrated a significant impact of the pandemic with psychological and emotional behaviors of healthcare professionals (Spinelli et al., 2020). Unfortunately, the major focus of allied healthcare professionals was to identify and to elucidate the pathophysiology of COVID-19 diseases. Somehow, the mental health condition of those who were actively involved in treating a mass of the population was neglected or very little focused. It this extremely unavoidable situation, a very important aspect of anxiety levels among health professionals therefore remained unnoticed. In the present study, we investigated the prevalence of anxiety levels and associated contributing factors among health care physicians during COVID-19 pandemic.

Materials and Methods

Experiment details:

A total of 156 doctors were recruited to study the mental stress and anxiety levels during a cross-sectional comparative study from April to June (2020). They were further divided into two groups. Participants of group A were

never directly exposed while those of group B were exposed to the treatment of COVID-19 patients.

Assessment Questionnaire and GAD-7 Scoring

Questionnaires were distributed among the participants from both groups. Responses were collected from different hospitals of Lahore (31.5204° N; 74.3587° E) Punjab, Pakistan. Doctors having already some mental illness or on anti-psychotics were excluded from the study. For the assessment of anxiety GAD-7 scoring system was used and outcomes were assessed (Spitzer et al., 2006). Scores obtained in between 0-21 was encoded as follows: Scores of 5-9 for mild anxiety, 10-14 moderate anxiety, and ≥ 15 severe anxieties. Apart from the GAD-7 scoring two other factors a) Family stress to quit the job, b) Lack of adequate supply of personal protective equipment's (PPE's) were also assessed which were specifically relevant to group B.

Statistical Analyses

The data were statistically analyzed with the help of a Chi-square test using SPSS software (version 20). In addition, the p-values of less than 95 % confidence index ($p < 0.05$) remained statistically significant.

Results

Anxiety levels among doctors

The study assessed different variables in the identification of anxiety levels within the doctors. Male to female ratio in group A was 36:25, whereas, in group B, it was 64:31. Chi-square analysis depicted no significant difference in anxiety levels of two groups based on gender ($p = 0.187$). By contrast, significantly increased levels of anxiety were recorded among members of group B ($p = 0.005$) that are directly in contact with COVID-19 patients (Table-1).

		Doctors		Total	p-value
		Group A	Group B		
Gender	Male	36 (36.0%)	64 (64.0%)	100 (100.0%)	0.187
	Female	25 (44.6%)	31 (55.4%)	56 (100.0%)	
Anxiety Score	No Anxiety	38 (52.8%)	34 (47.2%)	72 (100.0%)	0.005
	Mild Anxiety	6 (20.0%)	24 (80.0%)	30 (100.0%)	
	Moderate Anxiety	13 (37.1%)	22 (62.9%)	35 (100.0%)	
	Severe Anxiety	4 (21.1%)	15 (78.9%)	19 (100.0%)	

Table-1: Changes in Anxiety Levels in Doctors Calculated by Using GAD-7 scoring.

Odds ratios were calculated by 2x2 cross-tabulation that explained the risks of anxiety in doctors were almost three times ($OR = 2.96$) greater in Group B compared to Group A. Factors contributing to Aggravation of Anxiety Levels Doctors included in the study further were inquired about the factors that contributed to the aggravation of their anxiety levels. Of these, two

major contributing factors included stress from the family to quit the job and the availability of proper personal protective equipment's (PPE's). The findings indicated that there are 4.92 times and 1.80 times greater risk of anxiety in those who were facing stress because of inadequate supply of PPEs and from their families respectively (Table-2).

Doctors		Anxiety		Total	Odd Ratio (95% CI)
		Yes	No		
Exposure	Yes	61 (64%)	34 (36%)	95	2.96 (1.52-5.77)
	No	23 (38%)	38 (62%)	61	
Lack of PPE	Yes	28 (85%)	5 (15%)	33	4.92 (1.68-14.41)
	No	33 (37%)	29 (53%)	62	
Family Stress	Yes	17 (74%)	6 (26%)	23	1.8 (0.63-5.12)
	No	44 (61%)	28 (39%)	72	

Table 2: Factors involved in the aggravation of anxiety levels among doctors.

Discussion:

The COVID-19 is the most devastating and challenging public health crises since the influenza pandemic in 1918. Global statistics show millions of cases and about half of million deaths by May 2020 (WHO, 2020). Our results indicated that professionals engaged in the treatment of COVID-19 patients were significantly influenced by anxiety. In agreement with our findings, it has been indicated that linear spread of the virus has undeniable effects over the population worldwide but doctors and nurses who are in direct contact with the affected individuals deal with constant stress and fear that drives them into an anxious state of mind (Shah et al., 2020). Significant efforts had been made worldwide in ruling out anxiety and anxiety-related issues from the health care professionals (Van Bavel et al., 2020). Fear of being a vector in affecting others i.e., (family, friends, relatives, neighbours etc.) due to the inadequate PPEs and appropriate preventive measures is an important social aspect that augments anxiety (Bauchner et al., 2020; Lam et al., 2020). The current study also investigated the involvement of family members and other associated factors to study changes in the magnitude of anxiety levels. Our results revealed that the lack of PPEs, and stress from family to quit the job considerably increased the levels of anxiety among the healthcare professionals. Thus, it is the need of the hour that administrative departments and governing authorities should take positive steps in ruling

out the concerns of anxiety and fears with which healthcare professionals and continuously engaged.

Conclusions

From the results, it is evident that levels of anxiety among health care professionals are directly associated with i) direct exposure to COVID-19 infected patients ii) family support and iii) personal protective equipment (PPEs). It is, therefore, concluded that everyone has to play his role to cope with the situation like a pandemic. Modifiable aggravating factors for anxiety levels like proper provision of PPEs can be easily managed with the cooperation of hospital management and administrative officials, it may eradicate the fears of healthcare professionals to work in such a pandemic situation. Similarly, family support is also linked with the anxiety free and well protected environment of hospitals.

References

- Koay, W. I., & Dillon, D. (2020). Community Gardening: Stress, Well-Being, and Resilience Potentials. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(18), 6740.
- Fonagy, P., Luyten, P., Moulton-Perkins, A., Lee, Y. W., Warren, F., Howard, S. & Lowyck, B. (2016). Development and

- validation of a self-report measure of mentalizing: The reflective functioning questionnaire. *PLoS One*, 11(7), e0158678.
3. Murray, C. J., Lopez, A. D., & World Health Organization. (1996). The global burden of disease: a comprehensive assessment of mortality and disability from diseases, injuries, and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020: summary. World Health Organization.
 4. Mirza, I., & Jenkins, R. (2004). Risk factors, prevalence, and treatment of anxiety and depressive disorders in Pakistan: systematic review. *Bmj*, 328(7443), 794.
 5. Ing, E. B., Xu, Q., Salimi, A., & Torun, N. (2020). Physician deaths from corona virus (COVID-19) disease. *Occupational Medicine*, 70(5), 370-374.
 6. Montemurro, N. (2020). The emotional impact of COVID-19: From medical staff to common people. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*.
 7. Ahmed, M. Z., Ahmed, O., Aibao, Z., Hanbin, S., Siyu, L., & Ahmad, A. (2020). Epidemic of COVID-19 in China and associated Psychological Problems. *Asian journal of psychiatry*, 102092.
 8. Magnani, C., Azzolina, D., Gallo, E., Ferrante, D., & Gregori, D. (2020). How Large Was the Mortality Increase Directly and Indirectly Caused by the COVID-19 Epidemic? An Analysis on All- Causes Mortality Data in Italy. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(10), 3452.
 9. Spinelli, A., & Pellino, G. (2020). COVID 19 pandemic: perspectives on an unfolding crisis. *The British journal of surgery*.
 10. Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Archives of internal medicine*, 166(10), 1092-1097.
 11. Shah, K., Kamrai, D., Mekala, H., Mann, B., Desai, K., & Patel, R. S. (2020). Focus on mental health during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic: applying learnings from the past outbreaks. *Cureus*, 12(3).
 12. Van Bavel, J. J., Baicker, K., Boggio, P. S., Capraro, V., Cichocka, A., Cikara, M., ... & Drury, J. (2020). Using social and behavioural science to support COVID-19 pandemic response. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1-12.
 13. Lam, S. C., Arora, T., Grey, I., Suen, L. K. P., Huang, E. Y. Z., Li, D., & Lam, K. B. H. (2020). Perceived Risk and Protection from Infection and Depressive.
 14. Bauchner, H., Fontanarosa, P. B., & Livingston, E. H. (2020). Conserving supply of personal protective equipment—a call for ideas. *Jama*, 323(19), 1911-1911.

Ready to submit your research? Choose ClinicSearch and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At ClinicSearch, research is always in progress.

Learn more <http://clinicsearchonline.org/journals/international-journal-of-clinical-nephrology>



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.